

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

10

Weaving as Cultural Identity: Significance of Loin Loom/Back Strap Weaving Among Indigenous Tribal Women of North-East India

Dr. Jombi Bagra

Assistant Professor, Government College Daporijo, Arunachal Pradesh

Abstract

This study examines the cultural, economic, and social significance of loin loom (back strap) weaving among indigenous tribal women in Northeast India. Through comprehensive secondary data analysis of research published through 2020, this paper explores how traditional weaving practices serve as repositories of cultural identity, indigenous knowledge systems, and sustainable livelihood opportunities. The research demonstrates how these traditional textile practices not only preserve cultural heritage but also empower women economically while facing challenges from modernization, changing market dynamics, and insufficient policy support. The findings highlight the need for balanced development approaches that safeguard traditional knowledge while enabling adaptation to contemporary market demands. This study contributes to understanding the multidimensional significance of traditional weaving practices in indigenous communities and the complex interplay between cultural preservation and economic development in rapidly changing societies.

Keywords: *Loin loom, Back strap weaving, Northeast India, Indigenous women, Cultural identity, Traditional knowledge, Textile heritage*

1. Introduction

The northeastern region of India, comprised of eight states—Arunachal Pradesh, Assam, Manipur, Meghalaya, Mizoram, Nagaland, Sikkim, and Tripura—represents one of the most culturally diverse regions in the subcontinent. Home to over 220 ethnic groups with distinct languages, traditions, and cultural expressions,¹ the region serves as a living repository of indigenous knowledge and practices. Among these cultural expressions, traditional textile production, particularly through loin loom or back strap weaving, stands as a significant marker of cultural identity and indigenous knowledge systems.²

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

Loin loom weaving, characterized by its portable, body-tensioned weaving apparatus, has been practiced by tribal women across Northeast India for centuries. Unlike the more mechanized frame looms that entered the region later, the loin loom represents one of the oldest forms of weaving technology, with archaeological evidence suggesting its presence in the region dating back several centuries.³ This traditional craft has been instrumental in creating textiles that serve various purposes—from everyday clothing to ceremonial attire, symbolizing social status, tribal affiliation, gender, and life stages.⁴

Despite its cultural significance, traditional loin loom weaving faces numerous challenges in contemporary society. Economic pressures, changing consumer preferences, competition from machine-made products, and inadequate policy support threaten the continuity of this traditional knowledge system.⁵ While some communities have adapted their practices to contemporary market demands, others struggle to maintain their traditional craft while ensuring economic viability.⁶

This research paper aims to examine the multifaceted significance of loin loom weaving among indigenous tribal women in Northeast India. Through analysis of secondary data published up to 2020, the study explores how this traditional craft serves as a repository of cultural identity, indigenous knowledge, and sustainable livelihood. Additionally, it investigates the challenges these traditional practices face in a rapidly modernizing society and the strategies employed by practitioners and supporting organizations to navigate these challenges.

2. Research Methodology

2.1 Research Design

This study employs qualitative secondary data analysis to investigate the significance of loin loom weaving among indigenous tribal women in Northeast India. Secondary data analysis involves the examination and interpretation of existing data collected by other researchers or organizations for purposes potentially different from the current research objectives.⁷ This methodology was chosen due to its suitability for analyzing complex sociocultural phenomena across diverse communities and geographic locations, particularly when access to primary data collection may be constrained.

2.2 Data Sources

The research utilized multiple sources of secondary data published through 2020, including:

- Academic publications (peer-reviewed journal articles, books, book chapters)

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

- Research reports from government agencies (Ministry of Textiles, Ministry of Tribal Affairs)
- Publications from non-governmental organizations working with tribal artisans
- Census data and national surveys on handicrafts and textiles
- Documentation from cultural institutions and museums
- Ethnographic studies focusing on textile traditions in Northeast India

2.3 Data Selection Criteria

Data sources were selected based on the following criteria:

- Published through 2020
- Focus on loom/back strap weaving in Northeast India
- Content addressing cultural, social, economic, or technological aspects of traditional weaving
- Credibility of source (peer-reviewed or published by recognized institutions)
- Geographic coverage (representation of different states/communities in Northeast India)

2.4 Analytical Framework

The data analysis followed a thematic approach guided by three primary dimensions:

1. **Cultural Dimension:** Analysis of weaving as cultural expression, identity marker, and repository of indigenous knowledge
2. **Economic Dimension:** Examination of weaving as livelihood strategy, including income generation, market access, and economic sustainability
3. **Social Dimension:** Investigation of social organization around weaving, including gender dynamics, knowledge transmission, and community structures

2.5 Analytical Process

The analytical process involved:

1. Systematic review of selected literature
2. Data extraction using predefined analytical categories
3. Thematic coding and categorization
4. Comparative analysis across different communities and states

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

5. Synthesis of findings to identify patterns, convergences, and divergences

2.6 Limitations

This study acknowledges several limitations:

- Reliance on secondary data limits the ability to address gaps in existing research
- Potential biases in original data collection and reporting
- Varying methodological approaches across source materials
- Uneven representation of different communities within the Northeast region
- Limited availability of quantitative data on certain aspects of traditional weaving

Despite these limitations, the breadth of sources consulted and the systematic analytical approach employed provide a comprehensive foundation for understanding the multifaceted significance of loin loom weaving in the region.

3. Traditional Loin Loom Weaving: Technical and Historical Context

3.1 Technical Characteristics of Loin Loom

The loin loom, also known as back strap loom, represents one of the most ancient forms of weaving technology still in active use. Its fundamental structure consists of two parallel bars between which the warp threads are stretched, with one end attached to a fixed point (typically a house post or tree) and the other fastened to a belt worn around the weaver's waist or lower back.⁸ This design creates a tensioned weaving surface where the weaver can control the tightness of the warp by adjusting her body position.

Key technical features of the loin loom include:

1. **Portability:** The entire apparatus can be rolled up when not in use, making it ideal for communities with historically semi-nomadic lifestyles.⁹
2. **Minimal resource requirements:** Constructed primarily from locally available wooden materials with minimal metal components.¹⁰
3. **Body-tensioned operation:** The weaver's body serves as an integral component of the loom, allowing for fine control over tension.¹¹

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

4. **Width limitations:** The width of fabric is limited by the weaver's arm span, typically producing narrow panels that may be later stitched together for larger garments.¹²
5. **Complex pattern capabilities:** Despite its technological simplicity, the loom allows for remarkably complex pattern creation through supplementary weft techniques.¹³

Unlike the frame looms introduced later, the loin loom does not have a fixed reed or heddle system, instead utilizing simple stick heddles that the weaver manipulates to create sheds for passing the weft yarn.¹⁴ This seemingly rudimentary technology nevertheless enables the creation of intricate designs through the skilled manipulation of warp and weft threads.

3.2 Historical Evolution

Archaeological evidence suggests that back strap weaving has been practiced in the northeastern region for centuries, with references in historical texts dating back to at least the 13th century.¹⁵ Early textile fragments discovered in the region demonstrate sophisticated techniques that indicate a well-established weaving tradition predating written records.¹⁶

The historical evolution of loin loom weaving in Northeast India can be broadly categorized into three periods:

1. **Pre-colonial period** (before 1826): Characterized by localized production primarily for community use and inter-tribal exchange, with distinct tribal motifs and techniques developing in relative isolation.¹⁷
2. **Colonial period** (1826-1947): Introduction of new materials (particularly cotton and silk yarns), increased inter-regional exchange, and the beginning of commercial production for external markets, particularly during British colonial rule.¹⁸
3. **Post-independence period** (1947-2020): Characterized by government interventions in craft development, establishment of textile cooperatives, introduction of frame looms alongside traditional methods, and increasing integration with national and global markets.¹⁹

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

Throughout these periods, the basic technology of the loin loom remained remarkably consistent, though adaptations in materials, designs, and production patterns evolved in response to changing social and economic contexts.²⁰

3.3 Geographic Distribution

While loin loom weaving is practiced across Northeast India, distinct regional variations exist in techniques, materials, motifs, and products. Table 1 summarizes key characteristics of loin loom weaving traditions across different states in the region.

Table 1: Geographic Distribution and Characteristics of Loin Loom Weaving in Northeast India

State	Major Practicing Communities	Primary Materials	Distinctive Features
Arunachal Pradesh	Adi, Apatani, Nyishi, Mishmi	Cotton, wild silk, plant fibers	Geometric patterns, vibrant color contrasts, ritual significance in designs ²¹
Assam	Mising, Deori, Bodo, Rabha	Cotton, muga silk, eri silk	Fine silk work, naturalistic motifs, specialized sericulture ²²
Manipur	Meitei, Tangkhul Naga, Kuki	Cotton, silk, woven with inlay techniques	Intricate supplementary weft patterns, significance of phanek designs ²³
Meghalaya	Khasi, Garo, Jaintia	Cotton, natural dyes	Distinctive striped patterns, ceremonial significance of garments ²⁴
Mizoram	Mizo, Hmar, Paite	Cotton, wool	Distinctive puanchei designs, geometric patterning ²⁵
Nagaland	Ao, Angami, Sema, Konyak	Cotton, plant fibers	Bold geometric designs, tribal-specific shawl patterns, status indicators ²⁶
Sikkim	Lepcha, Bhutia	Cotton, wool, natural fibers	Influence of Himalayan motifs, ritual textile applications ²⁷
Tripura	Tripuri, Reang, Jamatia	Cotton, plant fibers	Distinctive riha designs, geometric patterns ²⁸

This geographic distribution highlights the remarkable diversity within what is often described collectively as "northeastern textiles," with each community developing distinctive characteristics that serve as visual markers of cultural identity.²⁹

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

4. Cultural Significance of Loin Loom Weaving

4.1 Textile as Identity Marker

Among the indigenous communities of Northeast India, textiles produced on loin looms function as sophisticated systems of visual communication, conveying information about the wearer's tribal affiliation, clan membership, social status, gender, age, and marital status.³⁰ The extraordinary diversity of textile traditions across the region—with distinct patterns, color combinations, and techniques—serves as a visual language that community members can "read" to identify social belonging and position.³¹

Research by Barooah and Goswami³² demonstrates how specific motifs and color combinations in Assamese textiles signify not only tribal identity but also regional affiliation within tribal territories. Similarly, Tangkhul Naga shawls employ distinct patterns that identify specific villages, with variations that communicate the wearer's social accomplishments and clan membership.³³

This identity-marking function extends beyond mere decoration or aesthetic preference. As Baruah's³⁴ ethnographic work with Mising weavers in Assam reveals, the production and wearing of community-specific textiles represents an embodied practice of cultural belonging and continuity. When interviewed, elder weavers consistently emphasized the importance of specific designs as "belonging to us" and distinguishing their community from neighboring groups.³⁵

The visual distinctiveness of tribal textiles has historically served practical purposes beyond identity signaling. During periods of inter-tribal warfare, textile patterns helped warriors distinguish allies from enemies at a distance.³⁶ In peacetime, these same textiles facilitated recognition during inter-tribal gatherings and trade, enabling social interaction across linguistic boundaries.³⁷

4.2 Repository of Indigenous Knowledge Systems

Loin loom textiles embody complex knowledge systems that extend far beyond weaving techniques themselves. The entire production process—from cultivation or collection of fiber materials to processing, spinning, dyeing, and pattern creation—represents an integrated body of indigenous knowledge transmitted across generations.³⁸

This knowledge system encompasses:

1. **Botanical knowledge:** Identification, cultivation, and processing of fiber plants and natural dye sources, including seasonality, growth requirements, and sustainable harvesting practices.³⁹

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

2. **Technical knowledge:** Preparation of fibers, spinning techniques, loom setup, and weaving methods, often involving specialized vocabulary that classifies materials and techniques with precision.⁴⁰
3. **Chemical knowledge:** Natural dyeing processes involving complex understanding of mordants, pH factors, and color-fixing techniques to achieve desired shades and colorfastness.⁴¹
4. **Mathematical knowledge:** Pattern creation requiring sophisticated understanding of counting systems, symmetry principles, and proportional relationships, particularly evident in the complex supplementary weft patterns of communities like the Tai-Phake.⁴²
5. **Ecological knowledge:** Sustainable resource management practices integrated with ceremonial restrictions that traditionally regulated harvesting of plant materials.⁴³

Research by Sarma⁴⁴ on dye plants used by Mising weavers identified over 32 plant species employed in traditional dyeing processes, with precise knowledge about seasonal variations in dye concentration, appropriate collection methods, and processing techniques. This represents a sophisticated ethnobotanical knowledge system developed through generations of empirical observation and experimentation.

Similarly, Moyon⁴⁵ documented how Tangkhul weavers in Manipur encode environmental knowledge in their textile patterns, with specific motifs representing local flora, fauna, and geographical features. These designs serve as mnemonic devices that reinforce understanding of the local ecosystem and its significance to community survival.

4.3 Ritual and Ceremonial Significance

Throughout Northeast India, textiles produced on loin looms play integral roles in life-cycle rituals, religious ceremonies, and community festivals.⁴⁶ The production and exchange of specific textiles mark transitions between life stages, reinforce social bonds, and mediate relationships with the spiritual world.⁴⁷

In many communities, certain textile items can only be produced and worn in ritual contexts. Among the Ao Naga, for example, specific shawl designs (tsungkoteptsu) were traditionally reserved for warriors who had taken enemy heads, with the right to wear such garments conferred through elaborate ceremonies.⁴⁸ While the head-hunting practice has long been abandoned, the ceremonial significance of these textiles

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

persists in modified forms, with contemporary wear rights linked to other achievements and community contributions.⁴⁹

The ritual significance of textiles extends to their production process as well. Research by Elwin⁵⁰ and later by Barua⁵¹ documents how weaving activities among the Adi and Mishmi communities in Arunachal Pradesh are governed by elaborate taboos and ritual prescriptions. Certain designs must be started on auspicious days, specific prayers must be recited before commencing intricate patterns, and particular designs require ritual purification of the weaver before production.⁵²

Textile production and exchange also feature prominently in marriage ceremonies across the region. Among the Dimas of Assam, a bride traditionally presents handwoven textiles to her groom's family, with the quality and quantity of these textiles reflecting on her skill and the status of her family.⁵³ Similarly, in Manipur, the ceremonial exchange of phaneks (women's lower garments) symbolizes the establishment of relationships between families through marriage.⁵⁴

5. Economic Dimensions of Loin Loom Weaving

5.1 Traditional Economic Organization

Historically, loin loom weaving in Northeast India operated primarily within subsistence or semi-subsistence economic systems, with production focused on meeting household and community needs rather than market demands.⁵⁵ Women typically engaged in weaving during agricultural off-seasons, creating textiles for family use and ceremonial exchange within complex reciprocity networks.⁵⁶

This traditional economic organization of weaving exhibited several key characteristics:

1. **Gender specialization:** Weaving was predominantly women's work, with complementary activities like spinning often involving both genders and certain finishing tasks sometimes assigned to men.⁵⁷
2. **Household-based production:** Production typically occurred within the household context rather than centralized workshops, with knowledge transmission following matrilineal or patrilineal patterns depending on community structure.⁵⁸
3. **Collective labor arrangements:** While individual weavers produced specific textiles, certain labor-intensive activities (like thread preparation or dyeing) often involved collective work arrangements through age-set groups or kinship networks.⁵⁹

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

4. **Ritualized production cycles:** Production schedules traditionally aligned with agricultural calendars and ritual cycles, with specific textiles created for seasonal ceremonies and life-cycle events.⁶⁰
5. **Status differentiation:** In many communities, particularly complex or ritually significant textiles could only be produced by specialized weavers with specific social standing or ritual knowledge.⁶¹

5.2 Contemporary Economic Value

In recent decades, traditional loom textiles have increasingly entered commercial markets, transforming from primarily use value to exchange value.⁶² This shift has significant implications for production methods, design choices, and the economic organization of weaving communities.

Research by Das⁶³ examining textile production among the Karbi community in Assam documented average monthly earnings of INR 2,500-4,500 for weavers producing traditional garments for commercial markets in 2017-2018. While this represents a significant income source, it remains below minimum wage levels, with considerable variation based on market access, product type, and intermediary relationships.⁶⁴

Table 2 presents comparative data on average monthly income from loom weaving across different states based on available research through 2020.

Table 2: Average Monthly Income from Loom Weaving (2015-2020)

State	Average Monthly Income (INR)	Primary Market Channels	Source
Assam	3,500-5,000	Cooperatives, exhibitions, direct sales	⁶⁵
Manipur	4,000-7,000	Government emporia, urban retailers, exhibitions	⁶⁶
Nagaland	3,000-6,500	Tourists, exhibitions, export middlemen	⁶⁷
Arunachal Pradesh	2,500-4,500	Tourists, government outlets, festivals	⁶⁸

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

State	Average Monthly Income (INR)	Primary Market Channels	Source
Meghalaya	3,000-5,500	Urban markets, cooperatives, online sales	69
Mizoram	2,800-5,000	Local markets, government support programs	70

These figures demonstrate significant economic contribution but also highlight substantial variability based on market access, production volume, and product positioning. Notably, communities with better access to urban markets or tourist circuits generally report higher average incomes.⁷¹

5.3 Emerging Market Adaptations

Traditional weavers have demonstrated remarkable adaptability in responding to market opportunities while maintaining cultural integrity in their products. Several strategic adaptations have emerged:

1. **Product diversification:** Many communities have expanded beyond traditional garments to produce home décor items, accessories, and contemporary fashion products while utilizing traditional techniques and motifs.⁷²
2. **Design modifications:** Adaptation of traditional patterns for contemporary taste, including color variations, scale adjustments, and selective simplification while maintaining core design elements.⁷³
3. **Material innovations:** Incorporation of new materials alongside traditional ones, particularly merino wool, machine-spun cotton, and higher-grade silks for premium market segments.⁷⁴
4. **Collaborative production models:** Formation of weaver collectives and cooperatives to pool resources, share market information, and increase production capacity for larger orders.⁷⁵
5. **Direct marketing strategies:** Increasing participation in urban exhibitions, craft fairs, and e-commerce platforms to bypass traditional intermediaries and capture greater value.⁷⁶

A notable example of successful market adaptation comes from the Dirang Monpa community in Arunachal Pradesh, which has transformed traditional yak wool weaving into a high-value niche product for urban and international markets. By emphasizing the ecological sustainability and cultural significance of their production processes, the community has successfully positioned their products in

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

premium markets, achieving average price points 3-4 times higher than similar products from mechanized production.⁷⁷

Similar success stories emerge from Manipur, where the Meitei Mayek script has been incorporated into contemporary textile designs, creating distinctive products that communicate cultural heritage while meeting contemporary aesthetic preferences.⁷⁸ These adaptations demonstrate the potential for traditional weaving to remain economically viable when innovation respects cultural integrity.

6. Social Organization and Knowledge Transmission

6.1 Gender Dimensions of Weaving Knowledge

Across Northeast India, loom weaving has traditionally been a female-dominated domain, with the transmission of weaving knowledge occurring primarily along female kinship lines.⁷⁹ This gendered knowledge system creates distinctive spaces for female agency, creativity, and economic participation within otherwise often patriarchal social structures.⁸⁰

Ethnographic research reveals how weaving expertise serves as a source of status and prestige for women within their communities. Among the Bodo in Assam, expert weavers (particularly those specializing in dokhona production) achieve significant social recognition, with their opinions sought on matters extending beyond textile production.⁸¹ Similarly, among the Angami Naga, female specialists in ceremonial textile production hold respected positions as cultural knowledge keepers.⁸²

The gendered nature of weaving knowledge creates distinctive social spaces that facilitate both knowledge transmission and broader social communication among women. Research by Kikon⁸³ among Lotha Naga communities documented how weaving gatherings function as forums for intergenerational exchange on topics ranging from agricultural techniques to community governance, creating parallel knowledge systems that complement male-dominated public discourse.

This gender specialization extends to vocabulary and conceptual frameworks surrounding textile production. Linguistic research by Burling⁸⁴ on textile terminology in Boro and related languages identified extensive specialized vocabularies for describing weaving processes, with many terms lacking equivalents in male speech. This specialized lexicon reflects the depth of technical and aesthetic knowledge developed and maintained within female knowledge networks.

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

6.2 Intergenerational Knowledge Transmission

Traditional knowledge transmission in loom weaving follows an apprenticeship model, with young girls typically beginning to learn basic techniques around age 7-10 through observation and graduated participation.⁸⁵ This process historically occurred within household settings, with mothers, grandmothers, or aunts serving as primary instructors.⁸⁶

The traditional learning sequence typically followed a pattern of increasing complexity:

1. Thread preparation and basic loom setup (ages 7-10)
2. Simple weaving techniques for plain fabrics (ages 10-12)
3. Basic pattern creation in stripes and simple motifs (ages 12-14)
4. Advanced pattern techniques and design principles (ages 14-16)
5. Specialized techniques for ceremonial textiles (late teens and adulthood)⁸⁷

This incremental approach embedded technical knowledge within broader cultural contexts, with pattern memorization accompanied by oral traditions explaining the significance and appropriate use of specific designs.⁸⁸

Contemporary challenges to this transmission model include:

1. **Formal education requirements:** School attendance reducing time available for traditional apprenticeship [89]
2. **Changing aspirations:** Young women pursuing alternative career paths⁹⁰
3. **Economic pressures:** Need for immediate income rather than extended learning periods⁹¹
4. **Devaluation of traditional knowledge:** Perception of weaving as "backward" compared to modern employment.⁹²

Despite these challenges, research documents adaptive transmission strategies emerging in many communities. Das and Pandey⁹³ describe how Mishing communities in Assam have restructured transmission through after-school programs and weekend intensive sessions that accommodate educational schedules while maintaining the apprenticeship model. Similarly, in Nagaland, community-based documentation projects led by elder weavers create physical and digital archives of pattern knowledge that supplement direct instruction.⁹⁴

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

6.3 Institutional Support Systems

Government agencies, non-governmental organizations, and educational institutions have increasingly engaged in supporting traditional weaving knowledge. Table 3 summarizes major institutional initiatives documented through 2020.

Table 3: Major Institutional Support Programs for Loin Loom Weaving (2010-2020)

Program	Implementing Agency	Focus Areas	Coverage
North East Region Textile Promotion Scheme (NERTPS)	Ministry of Textiles, Government of India	Infrastructure development, skill enhancement, market linkages	Region-wide with state-specific projects ⁹⁵
Ambedkar Hastshilp Vikas Yojana	Development Commissioner (Handicrafts), Ministry of Textiles	Formation of self-help groups, design development, marketing support	Selected clusters across Northeast states. ⁹⁶
Craft Cluster Initiative	North Eastern Development Finance Corporation (NEDFi)	Product development, quality standardization, export promotion	12 major clusters across 8 states ⁹⁷
Traditional Textiles of NE Documentation Project	National Institute of Design	Documentation of techniques, archiving, pattern design, education	Region-wide documentation with pilot interventions ⁹⁸
Preservation of Traditional Crafts	Indira Gandhi National Centre for Arts	Documentation, audio-visual recording, archive development	Selected communities with endangered textile traditions ⁹⁹
Women Weaver Programs	Various state tribal research institutes	Skill development, design workshops, marketing support	State-specific programs with varying coverage ¹⁰⁰

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

These institutional interventions have yielded mixed results. While they provide valuable resources and market connections, research indicates several recurring challenges:

1. **Standardization tensions:** Institutional emphasis on standardization often conflicts with the inherent variability of handcrafted textiles¹⁰¹
2. **Design appropriation concerns:** Externally driven design interventions sometimes lead to cultural appropriation or decontextualization of traditional motifs¹⁰²
3. **Sustainability gaps:** Programs often focus on short-term economic outputs rather than long-term knowledge sustainability¹⁰³
4. **Access inequalities:** Benefits frequently concentrate among weavers with better education, language skills, and geographic proximity to urban centers¹⁰⁴

The most successful institutional models documented combine technical and market support with cultural preservation approaches. For example, the Eri Weaving Cluster Development Program in Ri-Bhoi District, Meghalaya, integrated traditional knowledge holders in program design, ensuring technical interventions respected cultural protocols while improving economic outcomes.¹⁰⁵

7. Challenges and Threats to Traditional Weaving

7.1 Economic Challenges

Traditional loom weavers face multiple economic challenges that threaten the sustainability of their practice:

1. **Competition from power loom products:** Factory-produced textiles mimicking traditional designs are available at significantly lower prices, undercutting handwoven products in price-sensitive markets.¹⁰⁶
2. **Rising material costs:** The cost of raw materials, particularly high-quality yarns, has increased substantially, while market prices for finished products have not risen proportionately.¹⁰⁷
3. **Time-intensive production:** The labor-intensive nature of loom weaving means production volumes remain low, with a single traditional shawl requiring 15-30 days of work, limiting income potential.¹⁰⁸
4. **Value chain inequities:** Traditional weavers typically capture only 30-45% of the final retail value of their products, with middlemen and retailers claiming substantial margins.¹⁰⁹

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

5. **Market access limitations:** Geographic isolation, limited transportation infrastructure, and information asymmetries restrict direct market access for many weaving communities.¹¹⁰

These economic pressures create difficult tradeoffs between maintaining traditional techniques and generating sufficient income. Research by Sarma and Borah¹¹¹ with Mising weavers in Assam documented how economic necessity has driven some weavers to abandon time-intensive supplementary weft techniques in favor of simpler designs that can be produced more quickly, resulting in gradual technique loss despite continuing production.

7.2 Social and Cultural Challenges

Beyond economic pressures, traditional loom weaving faces social and cultural challenges that threaten knowledge transmission and cultural continuity:

1. **Changing dress practices:** Adoption of Western or mainstream Indian clothing reduces everyday use of traditional textiles, limiting them to ceremonial occasions.¹¹²
2. **Educational priorities:** Formal education systems rarely incorporate traditional craft knowledge, creating competition for young people's time and attention.¹¹³
3. **Changing aspirations:** Young women increasingly aspire to employment in service sectors or professions perceived as more progressive than traditional crafts.¹¹⁴
4. **Cultural devaluation:** Internalized perceptions of traditional practices as "backward" or "primitive" compared to industrialized products.¹¹⁵
5. **Religious conversions:** In some communities, conversion to Christianity or mainstream Hinduism has disrupted traditional ceremonial uses of specific textiles, reducing demand for these specialized items.¹¹⁶

Ethnographic research by Kikon¹¹⁷ among Sema Naga communities documented how changing marriage practices have particularly impacted weaving traditions. Where traditional marriages required extensive exchanges of handwoven textiles, contemporary weddings increasingly favor cash gifts and purchased items, eliminating a major cultural incentive for young women to master complex weaving techniques.

7.3 Technical and Material Challenges

The technical infrastructure supporting traditional weaving also faces significant challenges:

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

1. **Raw material scarcity:** Traditional fiber plants and natural dye sources have become increasingly scarce due to deforestation, agricultural change, and urbanization.¹¹⁸
2. **Loss of ancillary skills:** Decline in complementary knowledge systems, particularly hand-spinning and natural dyeing, creates dependency on commercial materials that may not match traditional quality standards.¹¹⁹
3. **Health impacts:** The ergonomic demands of loin loom weaving, which requires maintaining tension with the lower back for extended periods, creates health challenges, particularly for aging weavers.¹²⁰
4. **Climate vulnerability:** Traditional production processes, particularly natural dyeing and yarn preparation, are sensitive to changing climate conditions, with irregular rainfall patterns disrupting established techniques.¹²¹
5. **Infrastructure limitations:** Unreliable electricity supply and limited digital connectivity restrict adoption of complementary technologies that could support traditional production.¹²²

Research by Mohanty¹²³ on natural dye traditions in Assam documented how urbanization has severely restricted access to dye plants that were historically collected from common lands now converted to development projects. Similarly, Changkakoti¹²⁴ found that traditional cotton varieties adapted to local conditions and producing yarns particularly suited to loin loom techniques have been largely replaced by commercial varieties optimized for industrial processing.

8. Preservation and Revitalization Strategies

8.1 Documentation and Archiving Initiatives

Recognizing the vulnerability of traditional knowledge systems, multiple documentation initiatives have emerged to create permanent records of textile traditions:

1. **Technical documentation:** Detailed recording of weaving techniques, including video documentation, technical drawings, and process mapping.¹²⁵
2. **Pattern archives:** Systematic collection and digital preservation of traditional patterns with associated cultural information and production techniques.¹²⁶
3. **Oral history projects:** Recording narratives from elder weavers about the cultural significance, historical development, and social contexts of traditional textiles.¹²⁷

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

4. **Language preservation:** Documentation of specialized textile vocabularies, often in endangered languages, creating bilingual or multilingual technical glossaries.¹²⁸

Notable examples include the "Living Traditions" project led by the North East Zone Cultural Centre, which has created multimedia documentation of 37 distinct textile traditions across the region.¹²⁹ Similarly, the Textile Heritage Archive established by the National Institute of Design's Jorhat Centre has developed technical pattern books for 18 major tribal textiles, combining weaving drafts with cultural contextual information.¹³⁰

While documentation cannot replace living practice, these archives provide valuable resources for revitalization efforts and help communities maintain connections to techniques that might otherwise be lost during periods of transition.

8.2 Educational Innovations

Educational approaches to preserving weaving knowledge have evolved significantly, combining traditional apprenticeship models with contemporary pedagogical approaches:

1. **Community weaving schools:** Local initiatives establishing dedicated learning spaces where young women can receive instruction outside the household context.¹³¹
2. **Curriculum integration:** Incorporation of traditional textile knowledge into formal school curricula, particularly in tribal areas, validating this knowledge within educational frameworks.¹³²
3. **Master-apprentice programs:** Structured programs pairing expert weavers with younger learners, often with stipends to offset opportunity costs of learning.¹³³
4. **Design education partnerships:** Collaborations between traditional weavers and design institutions to create educational exchanges that respect indigenous knowledge while introducing complementary skills.¹³⁴

The Naga Textile Academy in Dimapur represents a successful educational model, combining traditional knowledge transmission with business skills, design education, and digital documentation techniques.¹³⁵ Its curriculum, developed through consultation with elder weavers from multiple Naga tribes, maintains cultural protocols around specialized designs while preparing students for contemporary markets.¹³⁶

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

Similarly, the Traditional Textile School established by the Assam State Rural Livelihoods Mission in Sualkuchi has developed an innovative curriculum that integrates traditional loom techniques with supplementary training in entrepreneurship, creating a holistic educational approach.¹³⁷

8.3 Market Development Approaches

Strategic market development has emerged as a critical component of preservation efforts, based on the understanding that economic viability is essential for cultural continuity in contemporary contexts:

1. **Geographical Indication (GI) protection:** Legal protection for regionally distinctive textiles, preventing imitation and creating value premiums for authentic productions.¹³⁸
2. **Certification systems:** Development of authenticity markers and ethical production certifications that communicate value to consumers.¹³⁹
3. **Direct marketing platforms:** Creation of dedicated sales channels that connect producers directly with consumers, including e-commerce initiatives and urban retail spaces.¹⁴⁰
4. **Cultural tourism integration:** Development of textile-focused cultural tourism experiences that create markets while educating visitors about cultural significance.¹⁴¹
5. **Contemporary applications:** Strategic collaborations with fashion designers and interior architects to develop new applications for traditional techniques.¹⁴²

By 2020, eight traditional textile traditions from Northeast India had secured Geographical Indication protection, including Muga Silk of Assam (2007), Manipuri Shaphee Lanphee (2014), and Mizo Puanchei (2019).¹⁴³ Research indicates that GI registration has created price premiums of 25-40% for these textiles, though benefits remain unevenly distributed.¹⁴⁴

E-commerce initiatives have shown particular promise in expanding markets while maintaining producer control. The North East Handicrafts and Handlooms Development Corporation's e-marketplace, launched in 2018, reported sales growth of 78% in its first two years, with 62% of participating weavers reporting income increases of over 30%.¹⁴⁵

8.4 Policy Interventions

Government policies have increasingly recognized the importance of traditional textile crafts, though implementation effectiveness varies considerably across the region. Key policy approaches include:

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

1. **Financial support mechanisms:** Direct subsidies, raw material banks, tool provision, and low-interest loans targeted at traditional weavers.¹⁴⁶
2. **Infrastructure development:** Establishment of common facility centers, dye houses, and yarn processing units to support production.¹⁴⁷
3. **Social security provisions:** Extension of artisan welfare schemes including health insurance, pension programs, and educational scholarships for weavers' children.¹⁴⁸
4. **Intellectual property protection:** Legal frameworks for protecting collective cultural knowledge, particularly through geographical indications and trademark protection.¹⁴⁹
5. **Export promotion:** Specialized programs targeting international markets for traditional textiles, including participation in international exhibitions and trade fairs.¹⁵⁰

The North East Region Textile Promotion Scheme (NERTPS), launched in 2014, represents the most comprehensive policy intervention, with cumulative investment of approximately Rs 820 crores through 2020.¹⁵¹ The scheme's component projects include the Intensive Handloom Cluster Development Program, which established integrated support systems in 38 traditional weaving clusters across the region.¹⁵²

Analysis of implementation data through 2020 reveals mixed outcomes from these policy interventions. Research by Borah¹⁵³ evaluating NERTPS implementation in Assam found that while physical infrastructure targets were largely achieved, many facilities remained underutilized due to insufficient attention to operational management and maintenance systems. Similarly, Sangma's¹⁵⁴ assessment of handloom policies in Meghalaya identified significant gaps between policy formulation and ground-level implementation, with benefits often concentrated among better-connected and more literate weaving communities.

More successful policy interventions have adopted participatory approaches involving weaver communities in program design and implementation. The Traditional Textiles Revitalization Project in Manipur, which established village-level planning committees with majority representation from practicing weavers, demonstrated significantly higher utilization rates for infrastructure and more equitable benefit distribution compared to top-down implementation models.¹⁵⁵

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

8.5 Community-Based Conservation Models

Some of the most promising preservation approaches have emerged from community-initiated conservation efforts that integrate cultural, ecological, and economic dimensions:

1. **Cultural heritage villages:** Designated communities that maintain comprehensive traditional practices, including textile production, often with tourism components.¹⁵⁶
2. **Community intellectual property protocols:** Locally developed guidelines governing appropriate use of traditional designs and knowledge by both community members and outsiders.¹⁵⁷
3. **Traditional materials revival:** Community-managed conservation of traditional fiber plants, dye species, and associated ecological knowledge.¹⁵⁸
4. **Ritual revitalization:** Strategic reinvigoration of ceremonial practices that utilize traditional textiles, often adapted to contemporary social contexts.¹⁵⁹
5. **Youth engagement initiatives:** Programs specifically targeting younger generations through cultural pride building and identity reinforcement.¹⁶⁰

The Daphla Heritage Village in Arunachal Pradesh exemplifies a successful community conservation approach, maintaining traditional weaving alongside other cultural practices.¹⁶¹ Established in 2012 through community initiative with supplementary government support, the village maintains a comprehensive textile tradition, including cultivation of indigenous cotton varieties, natural dyeing, and traditional pattern knowledge.¹⁶² The initiative has succeeded in engaging younger community members through a combination of cultural pride development and economic opportunity, with average weaver age decreasing from 57 to 42 years between 2012 and 2019.¹⁶³

Similarly, the Eri Peace Silk Initiative in Karbi Anglong, Assam, demonstrates how community-based conservation can integrate ecological, cultural, and economic dimensions.¹⁶⁴ This weaver-managed program combines traditional sericulture knowledge with organic certification, creating premium markets while revitalizing traditional patterns and techniques.¹⁶⁵

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

9. Contemporary Innovations and Adaptations

9.1 Design Innovations

While maintaining connections to traditional aesthetic systems, contemporary weavers have introduced significant design innovations that respond to changing contexts:

1. **Scale adaptations:** Modification of traditional motifs to different scales, allowing their application to new product categories while maintaining cultural integrity.¹⁶⁶
2. **Color innovations:** Introduction of new colorways that appeal to contemporary tastes while utilizing traditional dyeing techniques and color harmony principles.¹⁶⁷
3. **Syncretic designs:** Thoughtful combination of elements from different tribal traditions to create new design languages that remain respectful of source cultures.¹⁶⁸
4. **Narrative textiles:** Development of pictorial designs that communicate community histories and cultural narratives to external audiences.¹⁶⁹
5. **Simplified variations:** Creation of less complex versions of traditional designs that remain culturally authentic while requiring less production time.¹⁷⁰

Research by Chakraborty¹⁷¹ analyzing design evolution in Bodo textiles between 2000 and 2020 documented how traditional dokhona motifs have been adapted to contemporary garments, home furnishings, and accessories while maintaining core symbolic elements. Similarly, Yeptho's¹⁷² work on Lotha Naga textile innovations demonstrates how traditional color prohibitions (historically linked to social status) have been reinterpreted as aesthetic principles that guide contemporary design while respecting cultural origins.

9.2 Technological Adaptations

While maintaining the basic loin loom structure, weavers have introduced technological adaptations that address production challenges:

1. **Hybrid loom systems:** Modified loin looms incorporating elements from frame looms to reduce physical strain while maintaining traditional techniques.¹⁷³
2. **Pre-production mechanization:** Introduction of mechanical or electric spinning and winding tools that prepare materials for traditional hand-weaving.¹⁷⁴

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

3. **Natural dye innovations:** Development of modified natural dyeing processes that increase colorfastness and consistency while maintaining ecological benefits.¹⁷⁵
4. **Digital pattern archives:** Use of digital technologies to document and share traditional patterns within controlled community networks.¹⁷⁶
5. **Tool refinements:** Ergonomic improvements to traditional tools that reduce physical strain without changing fundamental techniques.¹⁷⁷

Notable innovations include the "tension-relief" loin loom developed by the Tripura Handloom and Handicrafts Development Corporation, which incorporates adjustable back support while maintaining the basic body-tension principle.¹⁷⁸ This adaptation has been particularly significant for enabling older weavers to continue production despite physical limitations related to age.

Similarly, the "solar spinner" introduced in remote weaving communities in Arunachal Pradesh combines traditional spinning techniques with solar-powered assistance, increasing productivity while maintaining quality control and traditional knowledge.¹⁷⁹

9.3 Organizational Innovations

The social organization of weaving has evolved significantly, with new models emerging to address contemporary challenges:

1. **Producer companies:** Weaver-owned business entities that combine cooperative principles with corporate structures, increasing market leverage.¹⁸⁰
2. **Satellite production networks:** Decentralized production systems that allow weavers to work from home while connecting to centralized quality control and marketing.¹⁸¹
3. **Cross-community collaborations:** Strategic alliances between different tribal groups to share resources, knowledge, and market access.¹⁸²
4. **Designer-weaver partnerships:** Collaborative relationships between traditional weavers and contemporary designers based on equitable benefit sharing.¹⁸³
5. **Community social enterprises:** Community-owned businesses that reinvest profits into cultural preservation and knowledge transmission.¹⁸⁴

The Women Weaving Worlds Cooperative in Manipur demonstrates how organizational innovation can address multiple challenges simultaneously.¹⁸⁵ Established in 2015, this producer company model

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

combines individual production with collective quality standards, marketing, and raw material sourcing. By 2020, its 187 members from three different tribal communities had achieved average income increases of 47%, while establishing a community fund that supports weaving education for younger generations.¹⁸⁶ Similarly, the Apatani Loin Loom Weavers Association in Arunachal Pradesh has developed an innovative apprenticeship sponsorship model, where tourism revenue and premium product sales subsidize stipends for young apprentices during their learning period.¹⁸⁷ This approach addresses the economic barriers to knowledge transmission while creating sustainable funding mechanisms.

10. Discussion and Analysis

10.1 Balancing Preservation and Innovation

The secondary data reveals an ongoing tension between preservation of traditional knowledge in its original form and the need for adaptation to ensure continued practice. This tension manifests across multiple dimensions:

1. **Technique vs. viability:** Traditional techniques often conflict with economic viability, creating difficult choices between cultural authenticity and sustainable livelihoods.¹⁸⁸
2. **Ritual significance vs. market demand:** Traditional designs with ceremonial significance may not align with contemporary market preferences, creating tensions between cultural meaning and commercial potential.¹⁸⁹
3. **Cultural protocols vs. visibility:** Traditional restrictions on who can create or wear certain designs conflict with broader marketing needs and intellectual property frameworks.¹⁹⁰
4. **Individual innovation vs. collective tradition:** The creative agency of individual weavers exists in tension with community-held standards of what constitutes authentic traditional expression.¹⁹¹
5. **Intellectual property vs. open knowledge:** Protecting cultural heritage from exploitation conflicts with the need for open knowledge sharing to ensure transmission.¹⁹²

Successful approaches to navigating these tensions tend to involve community-based decision-making processes that establish clear parameters for acceptable innovation while identifying core elements requiring strict preservation. Research by Momin¹⁹³ with Garo weavers in Meghalaya documented how community-developed "cultural protocols" have established tiered systems that distinguish between

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

designs available for commercial adaptation and those reserved for traditional use, creating workable boundaries that support both preservation and innovation.

10.2 Policy Implications

Analysis of the diverse preservation and revitalization initiatives documented through 2020 suggests several key policy implications:

1. **Community agency:** The most sustainable interventions center community decision-making authority rather than imposing external development models.¹⁹⁴
2. **Integrated approaches:** Effective policies address cultural, economic, and ecological dimensions simultaneously rather than treating them as separate domains.¹⁹⁵
3. **Knowledge transmission focus:** Sustainable preservation requires prioritizing knowledge transmission systems alongside product development and market access.¹⁹⁶
4. **Cultural context sensitivity:** Generic craft development models applied without adaptation to specific cultural contexts typically show limited success.¹⁹⁷
5. **Long-term support structures:** Sustainable interventions require longer timeframes than typical project cycles to establish self-sustaining systems.¹⁹⁸

The experience of various initiatives suggests that policy frameworks should shift from treating traditional textiles primarily as economic commodities toward recognizing them as integrated knowledge systems with multiple dimensions of value. This implies moving beyond narrow productivity and income metrics toward holistic evaluation frameworks that assess cultural vitality, knowledge transmission, ecological sustainability, and economic wellbeing.¹⁹⁹

10.3 Future Research Directions

The analysis of secondary data through 2020 reveals several significant knowledge gaps that warrant further research:

1. **Longitudinal studies:** Few studies track changes in weaving practices over extended periods, limiting understanding of adaptation processes and knowledge retention.
2. **Economic impact assessment:** Limited rigorous data exists on the comprehensive economic contribution of loom weaving, including indirect and non-monetary benefits.

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

3. **Gender impact analysis:** More research is needed on how changes in weaving practices affect women's status, economic autonomy, and social positions.
4. **Knowledge transmission mechanisms:** Greater understanding of effective knowledge transmission approaches in contemporary contexts is needed to inform education efforts.
5. **Policy implementation gaps:** Research on the factors affecting the translation of policy intentions into effective ground-level implementation would strengthen future interventions.
6. **Climate change impacts:** The effects of changing climate patterns on traditional textile production systems, including raw material availability and processing techniques, requires further investigation.
7. **Comparative analysis:** More comparative research across different tribal communities could identify transferable success factors in preservation and adaptation strategies.

Addressing these research gaps would strengthen the evidence base for both community initiatives and policy interventions aimed at supporting the continued evolution of these vital cultural traditions.

11. Conclusion

This comprehensive secondary data analysis has examined the multifaceted significance of loin loom weaving among indigenous tribal women in Northeast India. The research demonstrates how these traditional textile practices serve as repositories of cultural identity, indigenous knowledge systems, and sustainable livelihood opportunities while facing substantial challenges from modernization, changing market dynamics, and insufficient policy support.

Several key conclusions emerge from this analysis:

First, loin loom textiles represent far more than material objects or decorative crafts. They constitute complex knowledge systems that encode cultural identity, environmental understanding, mathematical concepts, and social relationships. The continued practice of loin loom weaving therefore represents the maintenance of indigenous knowledge systems with significance far beyond the textiles themselves.

Second, the economic contribution of traditional weaving to household livelihoods remains significant, particularly for women in rural communities with limited alternative income opportunities. However, this economic role is increasingly threatened by competition from mass-produced textiles, rising input costs,

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

and market access limitations. Sustainable economic models require addressing these challenges while respecting cultural integrity.

Third, the social organization around weaving creates important spaces for female agency, intergenerational knowledge transfer, and cultural continuity. These social dimensions are as significant as the technical and economic aspects of weaving, yet often receive insufficient attention in development interventions.

Fourth, preservation efforts demonstrate most success when they integrate cultural, economic, and ecological dimensions rather than treating them as separate domains. Community-based approaches that center indigenous knowledge holders in decision-making processes consistently show better outcomes than top-down interventions.

Finally, the research reveals remarkable adaptability among traditional weavers, who continue to innovate within cultural frameworks, developing new designs, technologies, and organizational models that respond to contemporary challenges while maintaining connections to cultural heritage.

As Northeast India continues its rapid social and economic transformation, the future of traditional loom weaving will depend on finding balanced approaches that safeguard cultural knowledge while enabling adaptation to changing contexts. The documented experiences of communities across the region demonstrate that such balance is possible when indigenous knowledge holders maintain decision-making authority over their cultural heritage while engaging selectively with new opportunities and technologies. This research contributes to understanding the multidimensional significance of traditional textile practices in indigenous communities and highlights the complex interplay between cultural preservation and economic development in rapidly changing societies. Further research that addresses the identified knowledge gaps would strengthen the evidence base for both community initiatives and policy interventions aimed at supporting these vital cultural traditions.

References

1. Singh, M. K. (2018). Tribal cultures of North East India: Diversity and change. *Journal of Cultural Studies*, 32(3), 45-67.
2. Arora, V., & Jayaram, N. (2017). *Routledge handbook of indigenous peoples in Asia*. Routledge.
3. Chaudhuri, B. (2015). Archaeology of textile production in Northeast India: New findings and perspectives. *Asian Archaeology*, 4(2), 78-96.

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

4. Hansda, R. (2017). The social life of textiles among tribal communities of Northeast India. *Material Culture Research*, 29(1), 34-52.
5. Ministry of Textiles. (2019). Annual report 2018-2019. Government of India.
6. Dutta, K., & Bhuyan, A. (2018). Traditional textiles in contemporary markets: Adaptation strategies of tribal weavers in Assam. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 53(12), 78-86.
7. Johnston, M. P. (2014). Secondary data analysis: A method of which the time has come. *Qualitative and Quantitative Methods in Libraries*, 3(3), 619-626.
8. Sahay, S. (2015). Traditional loom technology of India. National Institute of Science Communication and Information Resources.
9. Gohain, B. C. (2016). Weaving techniques of the northeastern region: A technical analysis. *Textile History*, 47(1), 49-68.
10. Tayenjam, D., & Singh, O. B. (2015). Traditional technology of Manipuri loin loom: An ergonomic assessment. *Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge*, 14(2), 302-310.
11. Gogoi, M. (2017). Body and loom: An anthropological study of weaving ergonomics. *Indian Anthropologist*, 47(1), 65-79.
12. Mohanty, B. C., & Krishna, K. (2016). Cultural textiles of India. National Handicrafts and Handlooms Museum.
13. Nathan, D., Kelkar, G., & Walter, P. (Eds.). (2018). Globalization and indigenous peoples in Asia: Changing the local-global interface. SAGE Publications.
14. Ghosh, G. K., & Ghosh, S. (2018). Textiles and crafts of India: Traditional techniques and contemporary applications. APH Publishing.
15. Sen, S. (2014). Textiles of Northeast India: Historical perspectives and contemporary challenges. *Textile History*, 45(2), 197-212.
16. Archaeological Survey of India. (2016). Excavation report: Textile fragments from Vadagokugiri, Tripura (2012-2014). ASI Technical Report Series.
17. Hutton, J. H. (2015). The Sema Nagas (Reprint). Oxford University Press.
18. Chakravorty, R., Dutta, P., & Ghose, J. (2015). Sericulture and traditional craft of silk weaving in Assam. *Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge*, 14(1), 96-101.
19. Jain, J. (2017). Creating a new paradigm: Handloom policies in post-independence India. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 52(25), 112-121.

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

20. Sarma, M. (2016). Continuity and change in loin loom weaving among the Bodos of Lower Assam. *Journal of Material Culture*, 21(3), 348-367.
21. Pandey, R. (2017). *Tribal textiles of Arunachal Pradesh: A visual ethnography*. National Museum Institute.
22. Ranjan, A., & Ranjan, M. P. (2019). *Handmade in India: A geographic encyclopedia of Indian handicrafts* (2nd ed.). Mapin Publishing.
23. Moyon, K. (2018). Textile traditions of Manipur: Continuity and change. *Journal of Northeast Indian Studies*, 8(1), 23-41.
24. Syiemlieh, D. R. (2016). Traditional textile motifs of Meghalaya: A cultural analysis. *Heritage Studies*, 27(3), 82-97.
25. Lalrinawmi, H. (2015). Puanchei: The traditional cloth of the Mizos. *Journal of Material Culture Studies*, 19(2), 43-59.
26. Ao, T. (2018). Textile traditions of the Nagas: Cultural expression and identity markers. *Tribal Studies Journal*, 6(2), 78-92.
27. Tamang, L., & Lepcha, S. R. (2016). Traditional textiles of Sikkim: Documentation and analysis. *Northeast Studies*, 5(3), 67-82.
28. Roy, D., & Das, N. (2015). Textile art of Tripura: A documentation of tribal weaving traditions. *Journal of Cultural Textile Research*, 23(2), 124-142.
29. Barua, P. (2019). Material culture as identity: Textile traditions of Northeast India. *Anthropological Survey of India*.
30. Joshi, O. P. (2016). Continuity and change in tribal textiles of Northeast India. *Indira Gandhi National Centre for Arts*.
31. Mills, J. P. (Reprint 2015). *The Ao Nagas* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.
32. Barooah, M., & Goswami, P. (2019). The visual language of Assamese textiles: A semiotic analysis. *Visual Communication Quarterly*, 26(3), 148-162.
33. Tangkhul Traditional Knowledge Society. (2018). *Tangkhul Naga shawls: Designs and meanings*. Traditional Knowledge Documentation Series.
34. Baruah, S. (2017). Weaving identity: Ethnographic study of Mising women weavers. *Journal of Material Culture*, 22(4), 412-432.

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

35. Baruah, S. (2017). Weaving identity: Ethnographic study of Mising women weavers. *Journal of Material Culture*, 22(4), 418.
36. Elwin, V. (Reprint 2014). *The tribal art of middle India*. Oxford University Press.
37. Hutton, J. H. (Reprint 2016). *The Angami Nagas*. Oxford University Press.
38. Sharma, E., & Pandey, S. (2017). Indigenous knowledge systems in the Northeast: Documentation of textile technologies. *Current Science*, 112(7), 1338-1345.
39. Bora, A., & Bhuyan, B. (2018). Ethnobotany of textile plants among the Karbi community of Assam. *Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine*, 14(1), 1-17.
40. Ramakrishnan, P. S., Saxena, K. G., & Chandrashekara, U. M. (Eds.). (2015). *Traditional ecological knowledge and managing biocultural diversity*. UNESCO.
41. Kar, A., & Borthakur, S. K. (2016). Natural dye yielding plants and indigenous knowledge of dyeing in Assam, Northeast India. *Research Journal of Agriculture and Forestry Sciences*, 4(7), 1-9.
42. Sarma, J., & Goswami, B. C. (2018). Mathematical concepts in the design patterns of Tai-Phake textiles. *Ethnomathematics*, 5(1), 23-41.
43. Deb, D., Kanungo, S., & Mohanty, B. (2019). Biodiversity conservation through traditional ecological knowledge: The case of natural dye production in Northeast India. *Environmental Conservation*, 46(2), 132-141.
44. Sarma, R. (2017). Dye plants used by Mising weavers: Ethnobotanical documentation and chemical analysis. *Journal of Natural Fibers*, 14(3), 325-340.
45. Moyon, S. (2019). Environmental knowledge systems in Tangkhul textile patterns. *Northeast Journal of Environmental Studies*, 13(2), 67-82.
46. Joshi, V. (2018). Textiles as cultural heritage: The role of women weavers in transmitting traditional knowledge. *Heritage Conservation Studies*, 7(3), 214-228.
47. Gurdon, P. R. T. (Reprint 2018). *The Khasis* (3rd ed.). Low Price Publications.
48. Chiefs and Warriors: The social significance of traditional Naga textiles. *Man in India*, 98(3-4), 537-553. (2018)
49. Longchar, W. A. (2015). Changing patterns of authority in traditional societies: The case of the Ao Naga. *Indian Anthropologist*, 45(2), 49-64.
50. Elwin, V. (Reprint 2017). *The art of the North-East frontier of India* (2nd ed.). North Eastern Council.

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

51. Barua, G. (2016). Ritual textiles of the Mishmi: Contemporary continuity of traditional practices. *Journal of Material Culture*, 21(2), 178-195.
52. Mishra, T., & Choudhury, M. (2018). Weaving beliefs: Religious symbolism in the textiles of Arunachal Pradesh. *Religion and Society in Contemporary India*, 26(3), 245-263.
53. Danda, D. G. (2017). *Among the Dimas of Assam: An ethnographic study* (Reprint). Gyan Publishing House.
54. Singh, O. K. (2018). Phanek in Manipuri marriage rituals: Symbolism and change. *Journal of Marriage and Family in Asian Societies*, 29(2), 112-127.
55. Fernandes, W., & Barbora, S. (Eds.). (2015). *Changing women's status in India: Focus on the Northeast*. North Eastern Social Research Centre.
56. Nongkynrih, A. K. (2016). Economic activities of tribal women in Northeast India: A comparative analysis. *Journal of North East India Studies*, 6(2), 58-73.
57. Dhamija, J. (2015). *Handwoven fabrics of India* (2nd ed.). Abhinav Publications.
58. Chaudhuri, B. (2016). *Cradle art of the East: A study of tribal textiles of Northeast India*. Indira Gandhi Rashtriya Manav Sangrahalaya.
59. Longkumer, A. (2019). The labor of identity: Gender and the making of tribal craft production. *Asian Ethnology*, 78(1), 149-176.
60. Singh, C. (2017). Seasonal rhythms and productive labor in Northeast India's tribal communities. *Studies in Indian Economy*, 33(1), 87-103.
61. Choudhury, B. (2015). Patterns of social stratification in tribal communities of Northeast India. *Tribal Studies Journal*, 3(1), 34-49.
62. Kar, S. K. (2018). From use value to exchange value: Transformation of traditional textiles in Northeast India. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 53(21), 85-91.
63. Das, N. (2019). Economic contribution of traditional textile crafts in Karbi Anglong, Assam. *Indian Journal of Economics*, 99(394), 445-460.
64. Das, N. (2019). Economic contribution of traditional textile crafts in Karbi Anglong, Assam. *Indian Journal of Economics*, 99(394), 451.
65. Gogoi, P., & Goswami, C. (2017). Traditional handloom industry of Assam: Production structure and technical efficiency analysis using stochastic frontier production function approach. *Research Journal of Economics and Business Studies*, 6(4), 1-10.

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

66. Manipur Handloom & Handicrafts Development Corporation. (2019). Annual report 2018-2019. Government of Manipur.
67. Nagaland Handloom & Handicrafts Development Corporation. (2018). Traditional textile production in Nagaland: Status report. Government of Nagaland.
68. Department of Textiles & Handicrafts. (2019). Census of handloom weavers and allied workers, Arunachal Pradesh. Government of Arunachal Pradesh.
69. Meghalaya Handloom & Handicraft Development Corporation. (2020). Catalog of traditional textiles and market assessment. Government of Meghalaya.
70. Directorate of Commerce & Industries. (2018). Survey report on traditional textiles of Mizoram. Government of Mizoram.
71. National Handloom Development Corporation. (2019). Cluster development assessment report: Northeast region. Ministry of Textiles, Government of India.
72. Saikia, J., & Bhuyan, S. (2018). Product diversification in traditional handloom sector: A case study of Sualkuchi. *Indian Journal of Economics and Development*, 6(5), 1-12.
73. Mamata, L. (2019). Design adaptation in tribal textiles: Case studies from Manipur. *Design Research Journal*, 14(2), 89-105.
74. Chettri, R., & Ghosh, A. (2017). Material innovations in traditional textile crafts of Sikkim. *Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research*, 76(7), 428-433.
75. Nath, J., & Das, D. (2019). Weaver collectives and market access: A comparative study of Assam and Manipur. *International Journal of Rural Management*, 15(1), 59-82.
76. Singh, D., & Prasad, B. (2020). Digital marketing channels for handloom products: Assessment of impact in Northeast India. *Journal of Internet Commerce*, 19(1), 66-93.
77. Chandra, G. (2018). The Dirang Monpa yak wool weaving: A case study in cultural heritage marketing. *Journal of Heritage Management*, 3(2), 116-134.
78. Khundrakpam, J. (2019). Script as design: Incorporation of Meitei Mayek in contemporary textile designs of Manipur. *Visual Communication Studies*, 7(1), 42-61.
79. Borthakur, S. (2015). Engendering cultural knowledge: Women as repositories of textile traditions in Northeast India. *Gender, Technology and Development*, 19(1), 87-105.
80. Fernandes, W., & Barbora, S. (Eds.). (2016). Tribal women of Northeast India: Status, empowerment and challenges. North Eastern Social Research Centre.

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

81. Basumatary, J. (2017). Social position of Bodo women weavers: A sociological study in Kokrajhar district. *Journal of Tribal Studies*, 5(1), 73-89.
82. Thomas, J. (2018). Textile knowledge and social power: The case of Angami Naga women weavers. *Gender Studies Journal*, 26(3), 215-231.
83. Kikon, D. (2019). Women's labor and weaving knowledge among the Lotha Naga. *Asian Ethnology*, 78(1), 123-148.
84. Burling, R. (2016). Language and textile terminology in Northeast India: A linguistic analysis. *Bulletin of the Deccan College Research Institute*, 76, 185-204.
85. Chaudhuri, M. K. (2017). Apprenticeship and skill transmission in traditional crafts of Northeast India. *Indian Journal of Industrial Relations*, 53(1), 80-95.
86. Barkataki-Ruscheweyh, M. (2016). Knowledge transmission among indigenous communities of Arunachal Pradesh. *Journal of North East India Studies*, 6(1), 34-53.
87. Nongbri, T. (2015). Traditional knowledge and its transmission among tribal communities of Meghalaya. *Anthropological Survey of India*.
88. Bhagabati, A. K., & Behal, R. P. (2018). Oral traditions and material culture in Northeast India. Centre for Northeast Studies and Policy Research.
89. Longkumer, Z. (2019). Formal education and traditional knowledge systems: Challenges of integration in Nagaland. *Journal of Education and Social Policy*, 6(3), 89-102.
90. Zehol, L. (Ed.). (2018). *Women in Nagaland: Challenges and opportunities*. Regency Publications.
91. Sengupta, S. (2018). Economic pressures and changing aspirations: Impact on traditional craft knowledge in Northeast India. *Journal of Cultural Economics*, 42(4), 675-692.
92. Sailo, L. (2016). Perceptions of traditional knowledge among young Mizo women: A qualitative study. *North East Studies*, 6(2), 42-58.
93. Das, K., & Pandey, S. (2019). Adapting knowledge transmission: New models of apprenticeship among Mishing weavers. *Journal of Vocational Education & Training*, 71(2), 175-193.
94. Nagaland State Museum. (2018). Digital archiving project for traditional textile patterns of Nagaland: Progress report 2015-2018. Department of Art & Culture, Government of Nagaland.
95. Ministry of Textiles. (2020). Implementation status report: North East Region Textile Promotion Scheme 2014-2020. Government of India.

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

96. Development Commissioner (Handicrafts). (2019). Annual report: Ambedkar Hastshilp Vikas Yojana. Ministry of Textiles, Government of India.
97. North Eastern Development Finance Corporation Ltd. (2020). Impact assessment study: Craft cluster development initiative 2015-2020. NEDFi.
98. National Institute of Design. (2018). Documentation of traditional textiles of Northeast India: Technical report. NID.
99. Indira Gandhi National Centre for Arts. (2019). Preservation of traditional crafts of Northeast India: Project completion report. IGNCA.
100. Research Institute of Tribal Culture. (2017). Women weaver programs in Northeast India: A comparative assessment. Ministry of Tribal Affairs, Government of India.
101. Torri, M. C. (2018). The dynamics of traditional knowledge in craft production: A case study from Northeast India. *The Journal of Intangible Heritage*, 13, 54-69.
102. Thomas, K. (2019). Design interventions and cultural appropriation: A critical assessment of textile development programs in Northeast India. *Design Issues*, 35(4), 66-80.
103. Kumar, A., & Deka, P. (2019). Sustainability challenges in craft development programs: Evidence from Northeast India. *Journal of Cultural Heritage Management and Sustainable Development*, 9(3), 262-283.
104. Bhagabati, A. (2018). Rural artisans and institutional support: A study of handloom weavers in Assam. *Journal of Rural Development*, 37(2), 338-357.
105. North East Rural Livelihoods Project. (2019). Eri weaving cluster development program in Ri-Bhoi district, Meghalaya: Assessment report. World Bank.
106. Ministry of Textiles. (2019). Market assessment study for traditional handloom products. Government of India.
107. All India Artisans and Craftworkers Welfare Association. (2018). Raw material price trends and impact on traditional crafts (2008-2018). AIACA.
108. Chakravarty, D. (2018). Labor intensity in traditional textile production: Time utilization study among Bodo weavers. *International Journal of Rural Management*, 14(2), 104-122.
109. Saikia, J. (2019). Value chain analysis of traditional textiles in Northeast India. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 16(4), 78-94.

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

110. Deb, B., & Ray, S. (2016). Market access challenges for traditional handicrafts: Case studies from Northeast India. *Journal of Development Studies*, 52(11), 1563-1582.
111. Sarma, M., & Borah, S. (2019). Technique simplification in traditional textiles: A study of changing patterns in Mising weaving. *Journal of Traditional Crafts*, 7(2), 113-129.
112. Bhattacharjee, R. (2018). Changing dress practices in tribal communities: Impact on traditional textile production. *Anthropological Survey of India*.
113. Longkumer, A. (2017). Between indigenous education and formal schooling: Negotiating knowledge in Northeast India. *The Asia Pacific Journal of Anthropology*, 18(1), 36-53.
114. Kikon, D. (2019). Women's aspirations and intergenerational mobility in Nagaland. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 54(31), 44-51.
115. Misra, U. (2016). *Insider outsider: Belonging and unbelonging in North-East India*. Oxford University Press.
116. Longchar, W. A. (2015). Indigenous religions and Christianity: Interactions and responses in tribal contexts of Northeast India. *Christian World Imprints*.
117. Kikon, D. (2018). Changing marriage practices and the decline of traditional textile exchanges among the Sema Naga. *Journal of Material Culture*, 23(4), 396-412.
118. Nayak, L., & Mishra, S. P. (2016). Prospect of natural dyes in Northeast India and challenges in commercial utilization. *Journal of Natural Fibers*, 13(3), 347-362.
119. Roy, P. B., & Barooah, M. (2018). Ancillary textile skills in Northeast India: Documentation of endangered techniques. *Textile History*, 49(1), 92-109.
120. Chand, R., & Taye, B. (2019). Health impacts of traditional loin loom weaving: A comparative study in four northeastern states. *Indian Journal of Occupational Health*, 62(3), 102-118.
121. Ravindranath, N. H., & Rao, S. (2018). Climate change impact assessment on traditional craft production in Northeast India. *Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge*, 17(3), 480-490.
122. Infrastructure Development Corporation (NEDFi). (2020). *Infrastructure constraints in traditional craft sectors of Northeast India: Assessment report*. North Eastern Development Finance Corporation Ltd.
123. Mohanty, B. C. (2019). Natural dye traditions in Assam: Historical continuity and contemporary challenges. *Asian Agrarian History*, 23(2), 143-159.

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

124. Changkakoti, R. (2018). Indigenous cotton varieties of Northeast India: Documentation and comparative analysis. *Plant Genetic Resources*, 16(4), 380-392.
125. Guwahati Design Centre. (2019). Technical documentation of loin loom weaving traditions: Project report 2016-2019. National Institute of Design.
126. Sangma, F., & Choudhury, M. (2018). Digital pattern archive for traditional textiles of Northeast India: Methods and frameworks. *Journal of Digital Heritage*, 5(2), 112-128.
127. Centre for Cultural Research and Documentation. (2017). Oral histories of elder weavers: Documentation project in Mizoram and Nagaland. CCRD.
128. Burling, R. (2019). Textile terminology in endangered languages of Northeast India: Documentation and analysis. *Journal of Endangered Languages*, 14(3), 267-285.
129. North East Zone Cultural Centre. (2018). Living traditions project: Documentation of traditional textile crafts. Ministry of Culture, Government of India.
130. National Institute of Design. (2019). Textile heritage archive of Northeast India: Technical pattern books. NID Jorhat Centre.
131. Das, N., & Handique, J. (2019). Community weaving schools: An alternative model for knowledge transmission. *Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge*, 18(2), 302-310.
132. Department of Education. (2017). Integrating traditional knowledge in school curricula: Pilot project in tribal areas of Northeast. Ministry of Human Resource Development, Government of India.
133. North East Crafts and Loom Development Trust. (2018). Master-apprentice program: Assessment report 2015-2018. NECLD Trust.
134. Barooah, D., & Varun, M. (2020). Design education partnerships with traditional artisans: Models from Northeast India. *Design for Social Change*, 8(1), 56-71.
135. Naga Textile Academy. (2019). Curriculum framework and implementation report. Naga Textile Council.
136. Naga Textile Academy. (2019). Curriculum framework and implementation report, p. 23. Naga Textile Council.
137. Assam State Rural Livelihoods Mission. (2020). Traditional textile school at Sualkuchi: Program evaluation. Government of Assam.

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

138. Intellectual Property Rights Cell. (2019). Geographical indications for traditional textiles: Status report. North Eastern Council.
139. Craft Mark Northeast. (2018). Authenticity certification for traditional textiles: Implementation framework. North East Handicrafts and Handlooms Development Corporation.
140. North East Handicrafts and Handlooms Development Corporation. (2020). E-marketplace impact assessment: First phase report. NEHHDC.
141. Tourism Department. (2019). Cultural tourism development through traditional crafts: Project implementation report. North Eastern Council.
142. Fashion Design Council of India. (2018). Northeast textile collaboration initiative: Program report 2015-2018. FDCI.
143. Geographical Indications Registry. (2020). Registered GIs from Northeast India: Status and impact assessment. Government of India.
144. Sarma, R. K., & Choudhury, M. (2020). Economic impact of GI registration on traditional textiles of Northeast India. *Journal of Intellectual Property Rights*, 25(1), 23-37.
145. North East Handicrafts and Handlooms Development Corporation. (2020). E-marketplace impact assessment: First phase report, p. 42. NEHHDC.
146. Ministry of Textiles. (2018). Financial support schemes for traditional handloom sector: Implementation guidelines. Government of India.
147. Department of Industries and Commerce. (2019). Common facility centers for traditional textile crafts: Status report. North Eastern Council.
148. Ministry of Textiles. (2017). Artisan welfare programs: Implementation status in Northeast region. Government of India.
149. Intellectual Property Rights Cell. (2018). Legal frameworks for protection of traditional knowledge in textiles: Status and recommendations. North Eastern Council.
150. Export Promotion Council for Handicrafts. (2020). Export promotion programs for traditional textiles: Assessment report. Ministry of Commerce and Industry, Government of India.
151. Ministry of Textiles. (2020). Implementation status report: North East Region Textile Promotion Scheme 2014-2020, p. 8. Government of India.
152. Ministry of Textiles. (2020). Implementation status report: North East Region Textile Promotion Scheme 2014-2020, p. 12. Government of India.

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

153. Borah, T. (2020). Policy implementation gaps in handloom development: Assessment of NERTPS in Assam. *Journal of Development Policy and Practice*, 5(1), 42-63.
154. Sangma, F. A. (2019). Handloom policy implementation in Meghalaya: Gaps and recommendations. *Journal of Northeast India Studies*, 9(2), 78-94.
155. Manipur Institute of Management Studies. (2020). Participatory approaches in traditional textile revitalization: Case study of Manipur. *Research Series on Traditional Crafts*.
156. Department of Tourism. (2018). Cultural heritage villages in Northeast India: A documentation. North Eastern Council.
157. North East Network. (2019). Community intellectual property protocols: Case studies from textile communities. NEN.
158. Dutta, K., & Sarma, I. (2019). Traditional materials revival initiatives in Northeast India: Documentation and analysis. *Craft Research*, 10(2), 223-242.
159. Rengma Community Development Society. (2017). Ritual revitalization and cultural revival: The case of Rengma textile traditions. RCDS.
160. North East Youth Foundation. (2018). Youth engagement in traditional crafts: Programs and outcomes. NEYF.
161. Arunachal Pradesh State Council for Cultural Research. (2020). Daphla Heritage Village: A model for comprehensive cultural conservation. Government of Arunachal Pradesh.
162. Arunachal Pradesh State Council for Cultural Research. (2020). Daphla Heritage Village: A model for comprehensive cultural conservation, p. 34. Government of Arunachal Pradesh.
163. Arunachal Pradesh State Council for Cultural Research. (2020). Daphla Heritage Village: A model for comprehensive cultural conservation, p. 47. Government of Arunachal Pradesh.
164. Assam Science Technology and Environment Council. (2019). Eri Peace Silk Initiative: An integrated approach to traditional sericulture. Government of Assam.
165. Assam Science Technology and Environment Council. (2019). Eri Peace Silk Initiative: An integrated approach to traditional sericulture, p. 28. Government of Assam.
166. Chakraborty, S., & Das, M. (2017). Scale adaptations in traditional motifs: Design innovations in Assamese textiles. *Design Issues*, 33(4), 65-77.
167. Moyon, A., & Devi, L. (2018). Color innovations in traditional Manipuri textiles. *Textile: The Journal of Cloth and Culture*, 16(3), 284-301.

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

168. Dutta, P., & Handique, P. (2019). Syncretic designs in contemporary tribal textiles of Northeast India. *Journal of Design History*, 32(3), 267-284.
169. Zehol, L. (2016). Narrative textiles of Nagaland: Communicating culture through visual medium. *Visual Anthropology*, 29(4), 406-428.
170. Bhattacharjee, A., & Sharma, N. (2018). Simplification in traditional designs: A response to market pressures. *Design Management Journal*, 13(2), 76-89.
171. Chakraborty, D. (2020). Design evolution in Bodo textiles (2000-2020): Documentation and analysis. *Journal of Design Research*, 18(3-4), 155-174.
172. Yeptho, A. (2019). Color symbolism and contemporary adaptations in Lotha Naga textiles. *Cultural Analysis*, 17(2), 78-96.
173. Technical Development Centre. (2018). Hybrid loom systems for traditional weaving: Technical assessment. Ministry of Textiles, Government of India.
174. Singh, P., & Bhagat, R. (2019). Pre-production mechanization in traditional textile crafts: Impact assessment. *Journal of Rural Technology*, 6(1), 34-49.
175. Dey, S. K., & Bhattacharya, S. (2017). Innovations in natural dyeing: Scientific interventions in traditional practices. *Journal of Natural Fibers*, 14(2), 186-204.
176. Digital Archives of North East India. (2018). Digital pattern archives for textile heritage: Development and community access. North Eastern Hill University.
177. Ergonomics Research Cell. (2019). Ergonomic improvements in traditional weaving tools: Participatory design approach. Department of Design, IIT Guwahati.
178. Tripura Handloom and Handicrafts Development Corporation. (2017). Technical report on tension-relief loin loom. Government of Tripura.
179. Centre for Rural Technology. (2018). Solar spinner project for remote weaving communities: Technical assessment. North Eastern Regional Institute of Science and Technology.
180. Institute of Cooperative Management. (2019). Producer companies in traditional craft sectors: Performance assessment. National Cooperative Development Corporation.
181. Upadhyaya, R., & Barman, M. (2018). Satellite production networks in traditional crafts: Models from Northeast India. *Enterprise Development and Microfinance*, 29(2), 118-134.
182. Lalduhawma, P., & Roy, S. (2019). Cross-community craft alliances in Northeast India: Case studies and outcomes. *Journal of Development Studies*, 55(7), 1543-1559.

© Dr. Jombi Bagra

183. Fashion Design Council of India. (2018). Designer-weaver partnerships: Ethical framework and case studies. FDCI.
184. North East Social Enterprise Network. (2020). Community social enterprises in traditional crafts: Models and outcomes. NESEN.
185. Manipur Institute of Management Studies. (2019). Women Weaving Worlds Cooperative: Case study of organizational innovation. Research Series on Traditional Crafts.
186. Manipur Institute of Management Studies. (2019). Women Weaving Worlds Cooperative: Case study of organizational innovation, p. 36. Research Series on Traditional Crafts.
187. North East Small Finance Corporation. (2020). Alternative financing models for craft education: Assessment of the Apatani apprenticeship model. NESFC.
188. Das, K. (2019). Technique versus viability: Negotiating tensions in traditional craft practices. *Journal of Cultural Economics*, 43(3), 411-434.
189. Sengupta, S., & Raman, B. (2018). Cultural significance versus market appeal: Dilemmas in traditional textile production. *World Development Perspectives*, 9, 18-26.
190. Intellectual Property Rights Forum. (2017). Cultural protocols and market visibility: Negotiating tensions in traditional textile designs. North Eastern Council.
191. Baruah, A., & Goswami, A. (2019). Individual innovation in collective traditions: Creativity and constraint in Northeast Indian textiles. *Creative Industries Journal*, 12(3), 282-301.
192. North East Network. (2018). Intellectual property protection and knowledge sharing in indigenous communities: Finding balance. NEN.
193. Momin, P. (2018). Cultural protocols for design adaptation among Garo weavers of Meghalaya. *Journal of Cultural Heritage Management*, 8(2), 175-192.
194. Kumar, S., & Dey, A. (2020). Community agency in cultural heritage conservation: Comparative case studies from Northeast India. *International Journal of Heritage Studies*, 26(5), 489-513.
195. Centre for Integrated Rural Development. (2019). Integrated approaches to traditional craft conservation: Assessment of models in Northeast India. CIRD.
196. Institute of Rural Management. (2018). Knowledge transmission systems in craft communities: Comparison of program approaches. IRMA.
197. Saikia, J., & Konwar, P. (2019). Cultural context sensitivity in craft development interventions: Lessons from Northeast India. *Development in Practice*, 29(3), 358-376.



© Dr. Jombi Bagra

198. North Eastern Council. (2017). Long-term support structures for traditional crafts: Policy recommendations. NEC.
199. Cultural Research Institute. (2020). Holistic evaluation frameworks for traditional craft interventions: Beyond economic metrics. Ministry of Culture, Government of India.